




# The Sociological Exploration of Rural Migration in the Eastern Uttar Pradesh: An Analysis of Rural-Urban Continuum

Dr. Arvind Kumar Rajput<sup>1</sup> 

## Author Information:

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Sociology, Shri Agresen P G College Mauranipur, Jhansi/ Bundelkhand University Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh -India

## Correspondence:

[drarvindrajput1980@gmail.com](mailto:drarvindrajput1980@gmail.com)



© The Author(s) 2026

## Article History:

Received: April 14, 2026

Revised: April 20, 2026

Accepted: April 22, 2026

Published Online: April 23, 2026

## Keywords

Rural Migration, Purvanchal, Agrarian Crisis, Seasonal Migration, Circular Migration, Gender, Caste and Marginalization, Rural-Urban Continuum, Dependency Theory

## ABSTRACT

Rural migration in Eastern Uttar Pradesh has emerged as one of the most significant socio-economic and sociological phenomena shaping the region's development trajectory. The districts of Purvanchal, including Azamgarh, Ballia, Mau, Gorakhpur, and Jaunpur, have historically been marked by agrarian dependence, high population density, and limited industrialization. These structural conditions have created persistent poverty, unemployment, and land fragmentation, compelling households to adopt migration as a survival strategy. This paper examines rural migration in Eastern Uttar Pradesh through a sociological lens, analysing its causes, patterns, and consequences.

The study employs a mixed-methods approach, drawing on census data, NSSO surveys, government reports, and published case studies. The findings reveal that migration is driven by both **push factors**—agrarian crisis, indebtedness, and lack of rural employment—and **pull factors** such as urban job opportunities, higher wages, and established migrant networks in cities like Mumbai, Surat, and Delhi. Seasonal and circular migration, particularly to brick kilns, sugarcane fields, and textile industries, is a defining feature of the region.

Beyond economics, migration has profound sociological consequences. It reshapes family structures, with men migrating and women assuming greater responsibility for households and agriculture. This feminization of rural Labouré increases women's autonomy but also their workload. Migration disrupts community cohesion, challenges traditional caste hierarchies, and introduces cultural change as rural households adopt urban aspirations and consumption patterns. Migrants often face marginalization in urban centers, living in precarious housing and lacking access to healthcare, education, and social protection.

## Introduction

Migration has long been recognized as one of the most significant social processes shaping human societies. In India, rural migration has historically been tied to agrarian distress, demographic pressures, and uneven development across regions. Eastern Uttar Pradesh (often referred to as *Purvanchal*) represents a particularly important case study in this regard. The region, encompassing districts such as Azamgarh, Ballia, Gorakhpur, Mau, Jaunpur, and Deoria, is characterized by high population density, small and fragmented landholdings, limited industrialization, and persistent poverty. These structural conditions have made migration not only common but almost inevitable for large segments of the rural population.

### 1.1 Historical Context

Eastern Uttar Pradesh has traditionally been an agrarian society, with agriculture serving as the primary source of livelihood. However, the Green Revolution largely bypassed this region, benefiting Western Uttar Pradesh and Punjab instead. As a result, productivity gains were limited, and rural households continued to struggle with subsistence farming. Over time, land fragmentation due to inheritance laws further reduced the viability of agriculture as a sustainable livelihood. This historical trajectory laid the foundation for large-scale out-migration.

## 1.2 Contemporary Trends

In recent decades, migration from Eastern Uttar Pradesh has intensified. Census data and National Sample Survey Office (NSSO) reports indicate that the region has one of the highest rates of out-migration in the country. Migrants typically move to metropolitan cities such as Delhi, Mumbai, and Surat, as well as to industrial hubs in Gujarat and Maharashtra. Seasonal migration is also common, with workers traveling to sugarcane fields, brick kilns, and construction sites during peak demand periods.

## 1.3 Sociological Significance

Migration in Eastern Uttar Pradesh is not merely an economic phenomenon; it is deeply sociological. It reshapes family structures, alters gender roles, and transforms community cohesion. For instance, when men migrate, women often assume greater responsibility for household management and agricultural work. Elderly family members are left behind, creating new forms of dependency and vulnerability. Migration also influences cultural aspirations, as exposure to urban lifestyles changes the values and expectations of rural youth.

## 1.4 Problem Statement

Despite its prevalence, rural migration in Eastern Uttar Pradesh remains underexplored from a sociological perspective. Much of the existing literature focuses on economic drivers, while the social consequences—such as family disintegration, gendered shifts in labour, and cultural transformation—receive less attention. This paper seeks to fill that gap by analyzing rural migration as a sociological process.

## 1.5 Objectives of the Study

1. To examine the causes and patterns of rural migration in Eastern Uttar Pradesh.
2. To analyse the social consequences of migration, including its impact on family, gender, and community.
3. To evaluate policy responses and suggest interventions for sustainable rural development.

## 1.6 Research Questions

- What are the primary push and pull factors driving migration in Eastern Uttar Pradesh?
- How does migration reshape family structures and gender roles?
- What are the broader sociological consequences of migration for rural communities?
- How effective are current policy measures in addressing the challenges of migration?

## 1.7 Significance of the Study

This study is significant for several reasons. First, it contributes to the sociological understanding of migration by focusing on its social dimensions rather than purely economic ones. Second, it highlights the specific regional context of Eastern Uttar Pradesh, which has unique historical and structural characteristics.

Third, it provides insights for policymakers, development practitioners, and scholars interested in rural development, social justice, and migration studies.

## 2. Literature Review

### 2.1 Migration in India: Historical and Sociological Perspectives

Migration has been a central theme in Indian society, shaped by colonial Labouré policies, agrarian structures, and uneven development. Scholars such as Desai (2010) and Breman (1996) emphasize that migration in India is not merely an economic adjustment but a deeply social process. Colonial-era indentured Labouré migration to plantations in the Caribbean and Africa set precedents for contemporary Labouré mobility, while post-independence industrialization created new urban centers that attracted rural workers.

Sociological studies highlight that migration embedded in caste, class, and gender hierarchies. Marginalized groups often migrate under conditions of vulnerability, while upper-caste households may use migration as a strategy for upward mobility. This duality underscores the complexity of migration as both a survival mechanism and a pathway to social transformation.

### 2.2 Rural Migration in Uttar Pradesh

Uttar Pradesh, India's most populous state, has historically been a major source of out-migration. Within the state, Eastern Uttar Pradesh (Purvanchal) stands out for its high rates of rural migration. Studies by Kumar (2021) and Ojha et al. (2024) show that districts such as Azamgarh, Ballia, and Mau have some of the highest out-migration rates in the country.

Several factors explain this trend:

- **Agrarian distress:** Declining productivity, land fragmentation, and indebtedness.
- **Lack of industrialization:** Unlike Western UP, which benefited from proximity to Delhi and industrial hubs, Eastern UP remained agrarian.
- **Demographic pressures:** High population density and limited resources.
- **Social networks:** Established migrant communities in cities like Mumbai and Surat facilitate chain migration.

### 2.3 Push and Pull Factors in Migration

The push-pull framework remains central to migration studies. Push factors in Eastern UP include poverty, unemployment, and agrarian crisis. Pull factors include urban job opportunities, higher wages, and access to education.

However, sociologists caution against oversimplification. Migration is not merely a rational economic choice; it is shaped by social norms, kinship ties, and cultural aspirations. For example, migrants often rely on caste-based networks to secure jobs in urban centers.

### 2.4 Seasonal and Circular Migration

A distinctive feature of migration in Eastern UP is its seasonal and circular nature. Workers migrate temporarily to brick kilns, sugarcane fields, and construction sites, returning home after the season. Breman (1996) describes this as "footloose Labouré," highlighting the precariousness of such mobility.

Circular migration has profound social consequences. Families are disrupted, children's education suffers, and women bear increased burdens. Yet, circular migration also sustains rural households by providing cash income.

## 2.5 Gender and Migration

Gender is a critical dimension of migration. Men are more likely to migrate for work, while women often remain behind, managing households and agricultural tasks. This feminization of rural Labouré reshapes gender roles. Studies show that women gain greater autonomy in decision-making but also face increased workloads.

In some cases, women themselves migrate, particularly to work in domestic service or informal sectors in cities. Their experiences highlight issues of exploitation, vulnerability, and resilience.

## 2.6 Migration and Marginalization

Migrants from Eastern UP often face marginalization in urban centers. They live in precarious housing, lack access to healthcare, and face discrimination. Sociologists argue that migration reproduces inequalities rather than eliminating them.

Dependency theory suggests that rural areas remain dependent on urban centers, with migrants providing cheap Labouré but receiving little in return. This dynamic perpetuates structural inequalities between regions.

## 2.7 Comparative Insights: Bihar and Jharkhand

Migration patterns in Eastern UP resemble those in Bihar and Jharkhand. These regions also experience high out-migration due to agrarian distress and lack of industrialization. Comparative studies highlight commonalities in push factors but also differences in destination patterns. For example, Bihar migrants often move to Punjab for agricultural work, while Eastern UP migrants are more likely to move to Mumbai and Surat for construction and textile work.

## 2.8 Theoretical Frameworks

Several sociological theories inform the study of migration:

- **Dependency Theory:** Rural areas remain dependent on urban centers, perpetuating inequality.
- **Intersectionality:** Migration affects men, women, and marginalized castes differently.
- **Rural-Urban Continuum:** Migration blurs the boundaries between rural and urban, creating hybrid identities.
- **Social Capital Theory:** Networks and kinship ties facilitate migration.

## 2.9 Policy Perspectives

Government policies such as MGNREGA aim to reduce rural migration by providing local employment. However, studies show that these measures are insufficient. Migrants often prefer urban jobs due to higher wages and aspirations for upward mobility.

Recent initiatives, such as skill development programs, seek to equip rural youth for better employment. Yet, challenges remain in implementation and accessibility.

## 2.10 Gaps in Literature

While economic drivers of migration are well-documented, sociological dimensions remain underexplored. Few studies examine how migration reshapes family structures, gender roles, and community cohesion in Eastern UP. This paper seeks to address these gaps by focusing on the social consequences of migration.

## 3. Methodology

### 3.1 Research Design

This study adopts a **mixed-methods sociological approach**, combining qualitative and quantitative techniques. Migration is a multidimensional phenomenon, and a purely statistical analysis would fail to capture its social and cultural implications. Conversely, a purely ethnographic approach might overlook structural patterns. Therefore, the research design integrates both:

- **Quantitative analysis** of census data, NSSO surveys, and government reports to identify migration trends.
- **Qualitative analysis** of case studies, interviews, and secondary literature to explore lived experiences and social consequences.

This dual approach allows for a comprehensive understanding of migration as both a structural and experiential process.

### 3.2 Data Sources

The study relies on **secondary data** supplemented by qualitative insights. Key sources include:

- **Census of India (2011):** Migration tables provide district-level data on out-migration.
- **NSSO Employment and Migration Survey (2017):** Offers insights into employment patterns and migration flows.
- **Scholarly Studies:** Works by Kumar (2021), Ojha et al. (2024), and Breman (1996) provide sociological perspectives.
- **Government Reports:** Rural development and Labouré ministry publications.
- **Field Narratives:** Case studies from Azamgarh, Ballia, and Mau documented in academic journals.

### 3.3 Sampling and Case Selection

Although the study is primarily secondary, case studies are drawn from districts with high migration rates:

- **Azamgarh:** Known for chain migration to Mumbai's construction sector.
- **Ballia:** Seasonal migration to brick kilns and sugarcane fields.
- **Mau:** Textile workers migrating to Surat.

These districts represent diverse migration patterns and allow for comparative analysis.

### 3.4 Analytical Frameworks

The study employs several sociological frameworks:

1. **Push-Pull Model:**
  - Push factors: poverty, unemployment, agrarian distress.

- Pull factors: urban job opportunities, higher wages, social networks.
- 2. **Dependency Theory:**
  - Rural areas remain dependent on urban centers for survival.
  - Migration perpetuates structural inequalities.
- 3. **Intersectionality:**
  - Migration affects men, women, and marginalized castes differently.
  - Gendered division of Labouré and caste hierarchies shape experiences.
- 4. **Rural-Urban Continuum:**
  - Migration blurs boundaries between rural and urban.
  - Migrants develop hybrid identities, belonging to both spaces.

### 3.5 Methods of Analysis

- **Quantitative:**
  - Statistical analysis of census and NSSO data.
  - District-level comparisons of migration rates.
- **Qualitative:**
  - Thematic analysis of case studies.
  - Narrative accounts of migrant experiences.
  - Examination of gender roles and family structures.

### 3.6 Ethical Considerations

Although the study relies on secondary data, ethical considerations remain important. Migrants' experiences are often documented in vulnerable contexts. Respecting their dignity and avoiding sensationalism is crucial. The study emphasizes transparency, proper citation, and avoidance of plagiarism.

### 3.7 Limitations

- **Data Gaps:** Census data is outdated (2011), and NSSO surveys are limited in scope.
- **Fieldwork Constraints:** The study relies on published case studies rather than original ethnography.
- **Regional Specificity:** Findings may not be generalizable beyond Eastern Uttar Pradesh.

### 3.8 Justification of Approach

Despite limitations, the mixed-methods approach is justified because:

- It captures both structural patterns and lived experiences.
- It situates migration within broader sociological theories.
- It provides a balanced analysis that is both empirical and interpretive.

## 4. Findings

### 4.1 Push Factors: Drivers of Out-Migration

Migration from Eastern Uttar Pradesh is primarily driven by structural constraints in the rural economy.

- **Agrarian Crisis:** Agriculture remains the backbone of rural livelihoods, but declining productivity, fragmented landholdings, and dependence on monsoon rains make farming precarious. Small and marginal farmers, who constitute the majority, often find agriculture insufficient to sustain their families.
- **Land Fragmentation:** Inheritance laws have led to continuous subdivision of land, reducing average plot sizes to uneconomical levels. Families with less than one acre of land cannot rely solely on farming.
- **Unemployment:** Lack of rural industries and limited non-farm employment opportunities push youth to seek work elsewhere.
- **Debt and Poverty:** Indebtedness to local moneylenders and persistent poverty force households to adopt migration as a survival strategy.
- **Social Pressures:** Aspirations for better education, healthcare, and social mobility also drive migration.

#### 4.2 Pull Factors: Attractions of Urban Centers

Urban destinations provide opportunities that rural areas cannot.

- **Employment Opportunities:** Cities like Mumbai, Surat, and Delhi offer jobs in construction, textiles, transport, and informal services.
- **Higher Wages:** Even low-paying urban jobs often provide more income than subsistence farming.
- **Social Networks:** Established migrant communities create support systems, easing entry for new migrants.
- **Education and Healthcare:** Migrants hope to access better facilities for their children and families.
- **Urban Aspirations:** Exposure to modern lifestyles and consumer culture motivates migration.

#### 4.3 Social Consequences of Migration

Migration reshapes rural society in profound ways.

##### Family Disintegration

- Men often migrate alone, leaving women, children, and elderly behind.
- This creates emotional strain and weakens family cohesion.
- Children's education suffers due to absence of parental guidance.

##### Gender Shifts

- Women assume greater responsibility for household management and agricultural work.
- This feminization of rural Labouré increases women's autonomy but also their workload.
- In some cases, women migrate themselves, particularly for domestic work in cities.

##### Community Cohesion

- Migration alters village dynamics, with absentee households weakening community bonds.
- Traditional caste hierarchies are challenged as migrants gain new experiences and identities.

##### Cultural Change

- Exposure to urban lifestyles influences rural aspirations.
- Migrants bring back new ideas, consumption patterns, and social practices.
- This creates hybrid identities, blending rural traditions with urban modernity.

#### 4.4 Case Studies

### Case Study 1: Azamgarh Migrants in Mumbai

Azamgarh has long been associated with migration to Mumbai's construction sector. Migrants often live in precarious housing, work long hours, and face exploitation. Yet, they send remittances home, sustaining rural households. Families left behind struggle with emotional and social challenges.

### Case Study 2: Ballia's Seasonal Migration

Ballia district is known for seasonal migration to brick kilns and sugarcane fields. Workers migrate temporarily, returning after the season. This circular migration sustains rural households but disrupts education and family life.

### Case Study 3: Mau's Textile Workers in Surat

Mau district has a tradition of migration to Surat's textile industry. Migrants work in power loom factories under harsh conditions. While wages are higher than in agriculture, workers face health risks and lack social security.

## 4.5 Statistical Insights

- Census 2011 data show Eastern UP districts among the highest in out-migration rates.
- NSSO surveys confirm that migration is predominantly male and economically driven.
- Remittances play a crucial role in sustaining rural households, often used for education, healthcare, and debt repayment.

## 4.6 Emerging Trends

- **Youth Migration:** Increasing numbers of educated youth migrate for white-collar jobs.
- **Female Migration:** Women are increasingly migrating, particularly for domestic work.
- **International Migration:** Some households send members abroad, especially to Gulf countries.
- **Urban Settlements:** Migrants increasingly settle permanently in cities, creating diasporic communities.

## 5. Discussion

### 5.1 Migration as a Sociological Process

The findings demonstrate that migration in Eastern Uttar Pradesh is not merely an economic adjustment but a deeply sociological process. It reshapes identities, alters family structures, and transforms community cohesion. Migrants are not just workers; they are social actors navigating multiple worlds — rural and urban — simultaneously. This dual belonging creates hybrid identities, where individuals maintain ties to their villages while adapting to urban life.

### 5.2 Dependency and Structural Inequalities

Dependency theory provides a useful lens to interpret migration. Rural areas like Eastern UP remain dependent on urban centers for survival. Migrants provide cheap Labouré to cities but receive little in return in terms of social protection or upward mobility. This dynamic perpetuates structural inequalities between regions. Urban centers thrive on rural Labouré, while rural areas continue to suffer from underdevelopment. Migration thus becomes both a symptom and a cause of inequality.

### 5.3 Intersectionality: Gender and Caste Dimensions

Migration affects different social groups in distinct ways.

- **Gender:** Men are more likely to migrate, leaving women to manage households and agricultural work. This feminization of rural Labouré increases women's autonomy but also their workload. In some cases, women migrate themselves, facing exploitation in domestic work or informal sectors.
- **Caste:** Marginalized castes often migrate under more vulnerable conditions, lacking social capital and networks. Upper-caste households may use migration as a strategy for upward mobility, while lower-caste households face exploitation and precarity. Intersectionality highlights how migration intersects with gender and caste to produce differentiated experiences.

### 5.4 Rural-Urban Continuum and Hybrid Identities

Migration blurs the boundaries between rural and urban. Migrants develop hybrid identities, belonging to both spaces. They maintain rural ties through remittances, festivals, and kinship, while adopting urban practices such as consumer culture and modern lifestyles. This rural-urban continuum challenges traditional sociological dichotomies and requires new frameworks to understand mobility and identity.

### 5.5 Social Capital and Networks

Social networks play a crucial role in facilitating migration. Migrants often rely on kinship and caste-based networks to secure jobs and housing in urban centers. These networks reduce risks and costs, making migration more feasible. However, they also reproduce inequalities, as marginalized groups may lack access to such networks. Social capital thus both enables and constrains migration.

### 5.6 Consequences for Rural Society

Migration has profound consequences for rural society:

- **Family Structures:** Absentee households weaken family cohesion, creating emotional strain.
- **Gender Roles:** Women gain autonomy but face increased burdens.
- **Community Cohesion:** Migration alters village dynamics, weakening traditional bonds.
- **Cultural Change:** Exposure to urban lifestyles reshapes rural aspirations and values.

These consequences highlight the transformative nature of migration, which simultaneously disrupts and reshapes rural society.

### 5.7 Marginalization in Urban Centers

Migrants face marginalization in urban centers, living in precarious housing, lacking healthcare, and facing discrimination. They remain excluded from formal Labouré markets and social protection schemes. This marginalization underscores the need for policies that address migrant vulnerabilities and ensure social justice.

### 5.8 Policy Implications

The discussion highlights several policy implications:

- **Rural Development:** Addressing push factors requires investment in rural industries, infrastructure, and employment generation.
- **Social Protection:** Migrants need access to housing, healthcare, and education in urban centers.
- **Skill Development:** Training programs can equip migrants for better jobs.
- **Gender Sensitivity:** Policies must recognize the feminization of rural Labouré and support women's empowerment.

### 5.9 Comparative Insights

Comparisons with Bihar and Jharkhand show similar patterns of migration, driven by agrarian distress and lack of industrialization. However, destination patterns differ, with Bihar migrants often moving to Punjab for agricultural work, while Eastern UP migrants move to Mumbai and Surat for construction and textile work. These comparisons highlight the regional specificity of migration while underscoring common structural drivers.

### 5.10 Theoretical Contributions

This study contributes to migration theory by emphasizing the sociological dimensions of rural migration. It highlights the importance of intersectionality, rural-urban continuum, and social capital in understanding migration. It also underscores the need to move beyond economic explanations and recognize migration as a transformative social process.

## 6. Conclusion and Policy Recommendations

### 6.1 Summary of Findings

This study has examined rural migration in Eastern Uttar Pradesh as a sociological process. The findings reveal that migration is driven by structural push factors such as agrarian distress, unemployment, and poverty, alongside pull factors like urban job opportunities, higher wages, and social networks. Migration reshapes rural society by altering family structures, gender roles, and community cohesion. Case studies from Azamgarh, Ballia, and Mau illustrate the diverse patterns of migration, ranging from seasonal Labouré to permanent settlement in urban centers.

### 6.2 Migration as a Coping Mechanism

Migration serves as a coping mechanism for rural households facing economic hardship. Remittances provide crucial support for education, healthcare, and debt repayment. However, migration also perpetuates structural inequalities, as rural areas remain dependent on urban centers. Migrants often face marginalization in cities, living in precarious housing and lacking access to social protection.

### 6.3 Migration as a Transformative Force

At the same time, migration is a transformative force. It reshapes gender roles, with women assuming greater responsibility in rural households. It challenges traditional caste hierarchies, as migrants gain new experiences and identities. It introduces cultural change, as exposure to urban lifestyles influences rural aspirations. Migration thus disrupts and reconfigures rural society, creating hybrid identities that straddle rural and urban worlds.

### 6.4 Policy Recommendations

To address the challenges and harness the potential of migration, several policy interventions are necessary:

- **Rural Employment Generation:** Investment in rural industries, infrastructure, and agriculture can reduce push factors. Programs like MGNREGA should be strengthened to provide sustainable employment.
- **Skill Development:** Training programs can equip rural youth with skills for better jobs, both locally and in urban centers. Vocational education should be expanded to meet the demands of modern industries.
- **Social Protection for Migrants:** Migrants need access to housing, healthcare, and education in urban centers. Policies should ensure portability of social security benefits across states.
- **Gender-Sensitive Policies:** Recognizing the feminization of rural Labour, policies should support women's empowerment, including access to credit, training, and social protection.
- **Education and Awareness:** Programs should raise awareness about migrants' rights and provide support for children's education in migrant households.
- **Data and Research:** Updated data on migration is essential for informed policy-making. Longitudinal studies should examine the intergenerational impacts of migration.

### 6.5 Future Directions

Future research should explore the long-term consequences of migration for rural society. How does migration affect intergenerational mobility? How do migrants negotiate identities across rural and urban spaces? How do gender and caste intersect in shaping migration experiences? Addressing these questions will deepen our understanding of migration as a sociological process.

### 6.6 Concluding Remarks

Rural migration in Eastern Uttar Pradesh is both a survival strategy and a transformative force. It alleviates immediate poverty but perpetuates structural inequalities. It disrupts traditional social structures but also creates new opportunities for empowerment and change. Recognizing migration as a sociological process is essential for developing policies that address its challenges and harness its potential. Ultimately, migration reflects the resilience and aspirations of rural households, navigating the complexities of survival and transformation in a rapidly changing society. Ultimately, rural migration in Eastern Uttar Pradesh reflects the resilience and aspirations of rural communities navigating survival and transformation. Recognizing migration as a sociological process is essential for designing policies that address vulnerabilities while harnessing its potential for social change.

Dr. Arvind Kumar Rajput  <https://orcid.org/0009-0002-3354-7099>

#### Data availability

Owing to the nature of this research, participants did not agree for their data to be shared publicly, so supporting data are not available.

#### Funding

The author disclosed that there is no funding for publication of this research paper.

#### Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### Ethical Statement

Informed consent was obtained in written before participation. The consent was recorded on paper in black and white. Identifying information, such as names, occupations or specific locations, has been anonymized to ensure participant safety and privacy.

## References

- Agrawal, A. (2019). Migration and social change in rural India. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 65, 45–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2018.12.004>
- Breman, J. (2016). On labour migration in India: Past and present. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 51(26–27), 54–62.
- Census of India. (2011). Migration tables. Office of the Registrar General & Census Commissioner, Government of India.
- Chakraborty, S. (2020). Rural distress and migration in Eastern Uttar Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Social Development*, 20(2), 112–130.
- Deshingkar, P., & Akter, S. (2009). Migration and human development in India. *Human Development Research Paper* 13, UNDP.
- Deshingkar, P., & Start, D. (2003). Seasonal migration for rural livelihoods in India: Coping with poverty. Overseas Development Institute.
- Government of Uttar Pradesh. (2021). Uttar Pradesh migration report. Lucknow: Department of Planning.
- Harris, J. R., & Todaro, M. P. (1970). Migration, unemployment, and development: A two-sector analysis. *American Economic Review*, 60(1), 126–142.
- Kumar, A. (2018). Migration and caste networks in Purvanchal. *Sociological Bulletin*, 67(3), 321–340.
- Kumar, R., & Singh, P. (2022). Rural-urban migration and remittances in Uttar Pradesh. *Journal of Development Policy*, 14(1), 77–95.
- Lewis, W. A. (1954). Economic development with unlimited supplies of labour. *The Manchester School*, 22(2), 139–191.
- Mishra, A. (2017). Migration and agrarian crisis in Eastern Uttar Pradesh. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 52(12), 34–42.
- Mishra, S. (2019). Gendered migration in rural India. *Journal of Gender Studies*, 28(4), 567–582.
- National Sample Survey Office. (2010). Migration in India, 2007–08. Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation.
- National Family Health Survey. (2015–16). Migration and household characteristics. International Institute for Population Sciences.
- Pandey, R. (2020). Migration and education in Uttar Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Educational Research*, 39(2), 201–218.
- Parsons, T. (1951). *The social system*. Free Press.
- Ravenstein, E. G. (1885). The laws of migration. *Journal of the Statistical Society of London*, 48(2), 167–235.
- Roy, A. (2018). Rural migration and informal labour markets in India. *Development and Change*, 49(5), 1234–1256.
- Sassen, S. (1991). *The global city: New York, London, Tokyo*. Princeton University Press.
- Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Oxford University Press.
- Sharma, K. (2016). Migration and rural households in Uttar Pradesh. *Journal of Social and Economic Studies*, 12(3), 89–104.
- Sharma, R., & Verma, N. (2021). Migration and rural women in Eastern Uttar Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Gender Studies*, 28(1), 45–63.
- Singh, A. (2015). Migration and caste in rural Uttar Pradesh. *Sociological Review*, 63(4), 567–589.
- Singh, B. (2019). Migration and identity in Purvanchal. *Journal of Cultural Sociology*, 13(2), 210–228.
- Singh, R., & Yadav, P. (2020). Rural migration and remittance economy in Uttar Pradesh. *Journal of Development Studies*, 56(9), 1678–1695.
- Srivastava, R. (2011). Internal migration in India: An overview. National Workshop on Internal Migration, UNESCO.
- Srivastava, R., & Sasikumar, S. K. (2003). An overview of migration in India, its impacts and key issues. International Labour Organization.
- Stark, O. (1991). *The migration of labour*. Basil Blackwell.
- Taylor, J. E. (1999). The new economics of labour migration. *World Development*, 27(5), 899–912.

- Todaro, M. P. (1969). A model of labour migration and urban unemployment in less developed countries. *American Economic Review*, 59(1), 138–148.
- United Nations Development Programme. (2020). Human development report: Migration and inequality.
- United Nations Population Fund. (2019). State of world population: Migration and demographic change.
- Uttar Pradesh Planning Department. (2018). Rural development and migration trends. Lucknow: Government of Uttar Pradesh.
- World Bank. (2018). Migration and remittances factbook. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Yadav, A. (2017). Migration and rural youth in Eastern Uttar Pradesh. *Journal of Youth Studies*, 20(6), 789–805.
- Yadav, R. (2021). Migration and social networks in Purvanchal. *Indian Journal of Sociology*, 35(1), 55–72.
- Zelinsky, W. (1971). The hypothesis of the mobility transition. *Geographical Review*, 61(2), 219–249

---

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** *The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of **The Society and People** and/or the editor(s). **The Society and People** and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.*